arXiv:1512.00276v3 [math.OA] 19 May 2016

K-theory of cluster C^*-algebras

Igor Nikolaev

Abstract

It is proved that the K_0-group of a cluster C^*-algebra is isomorphic to the corresponding cluster algebra. As a corollary, one gets a shorter proof of the positivity conjecture for cluster algebras. As an example, we consider a cluster C^*-algebra $\mathbb{A}(1,1)$ coming from triangulation of an annulus with one marked point on each boundary component; it is shown that braid groups admit a representation in $\mathbb{A}(1,1)$, so that the Jones polynomials of closed braids correspond to the Laurent phenomenon in cluster algebra $K_0(\mathbb{A}(1,1))$.

Key words and phrases: cluster C^*-algebras, Jones polynomials

MSC: 13F60 (cluster algebras); 46L85 (noncommutative topology); 57M25 (knots and links)

1 Introduction

Cluster algebras are a class of commutative rings introduced by [Fomin & Zelevinsky 2002] [4] having deep roots in hyperbolic geometry and Teichmüller theory [Williams 2014] [17]. Namely, the *cluster algebra* $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ of rank n is a subring of the field of rational functions in n variables depending on a *cluster* of variables $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and a skew-symmetric matrix $B = (b_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathbf{Z})$; the pair $(\mathbf{x}, B)$ is called a *seed*. A new cluster $\mathbf{x}' = (x_1, \ldots, x'_k, \ldots, x_n)$ and a new skew-symmetric matrix $B' = (b'_{ij})$ is obtained from $(\mathbf{x}, B)$ by the *exchange relations*:

$$\begin{aligned} x_k x'_k &= \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{\max(b_{ik},0)} + \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{\max(-b_{ik},0)}, \\ b'_{ij} &= \begin{cases} -b_{ij} & \text{if } i=k \text{ or } j=k \\ b_{ij} + \frac{|b_{ik}|b_{kj} + b_{ik}|b_{kj}|}{2} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The seed $(\mathbf{x}', B')$ is said to be a *mutation* of $(\mathbf{x}, B)$ in direction k, where $1 \leq k \leq n$; the algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ is generated by cluster variables $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ obtained from the initial seed $(\mathbf{x}, B)$ by the iteration of mutations in all possible directions k.

The *Laurent phenomenon* proved by [Fomin & Zelevinsky 2002] [4] says that $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}, B) \subset \mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$, where $\mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ is the ring of the Laurent polynomials in variables $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ depending on an initial seed $(\mathbf{x}, B)$; in other words, each generator x_i of algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ can be written as a Laurent polynomial in n variables with the integer coefficients. The famous *Positivity Conjecture* says that coefficients of the Laurent polynomials corresponding to variables x_i are always non-negative integers, see [Fomin & Zelevinsky 2002] [4]. A general form of the Positivity Conjecture was proved by [Lee & Schiffler 2015] [8] using a clever combinatorial formula for the variables x_i.

Cluster C^*-algebras $\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ are a class of non-commutative rings introduced in [12]. The $\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ is an *AF-algebra* given by the Bratteli diagram [Bratteli 1972] [1]; such a diagram is obtained from a mutation tree of the initial seed $(\mathbf{x}, B)$ modulo an equivalence relation between the seeds lying at the same level, see Section 2.2. (We refer the reader to Figures 1 and 3 for an immediate example of such algebras.)

The aim of our note is the K-theory of AF-algebra $\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)$. Namely, the *ordered* abelian group is a pair (G, G^+) consisting of an abelian group G and a semigroup $G^+ \subset G$ of positive elements of G; the order $\leq$ on G is defined by the positive cone G^+, i.e. $a \leq b$ if and only if $b - a \in G^+$. An *order-isomorphism* $\cong$ between (G, G^+) and (H, H^+) is an isomorphism $\varphi : G \to H$, such that $\varphi(G^+) = H^+$. Denote by $K_0(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B))$ the K_0-group of the AF-algebra $\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ and by $K_0^+(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)) \subset K_0(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B))$ its Grothendieck semigroup [Effros 1981, Chapter 8] [2]. The pair $(K_0(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)), K_0^+(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)))$ is an invariant of the *Morita equivalence* of the AF-algebra $\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ [Elliott 1976] [3]. Likewise, let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ be an additive group of the cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)$; let $\mathcal{A}^+_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ be a semigroup inside the $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ consisting of the Laurent polynomials with *positive* coefficients. The pair $(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x}, B), \mathcal{A}^+_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x}, B))$ is an abelian group with order. Our main result can be formulated as follows.

Theorem 1 $(K_0(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)),\ K_0^+(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)) \cong (\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x}, B),\ \mathcal{A}^+_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x}, B))$.

An application of theorem 1 is as follows. Recall that the *dimension group* is a triple (G, G^+, Γ) consisting of an abelian group G, a semigroup of positive elements $G^+ \subset G$ and a scale $\Gamma \subseteq G^+$, i.e. a generating, hereditary and

directed subset of G^+ [Effros 1981, Chapter 7] [2]. For instance, the $\Gamma \cong G^+$ is a scale called *stable*; thus the pair (G, G^+) is a special case of the dimension group. An order-isomorphism $\cong$ between dimension groups (G, G^+, Γ) and (H, H^+, Γ') is an isomorphism $\varphi : G \to H$, such that $\varphi(G^+) = H^+$ and $\varphi(\Gamma) = \Gamma'$. Denote by $\Gamma \subset K_0^+(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B))$ the set of the Murray-von Neumann equivalence classes of projections in the algebra $\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)$. It is known, that the triple $(K_0(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)), K_0^+(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)), \Gamma)$ is an invariant of the *isomorphism* class of the AF-algebra $\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ [Elliott 1976] [3]. It is not hard to observe, that the set $X = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of all variables x_i in the cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ is a scale, since it is a generating, hereditary and directed subset of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}^+(\mathbf{x}, B)$. Notice that choosing a different initial seed $(\mathbf{x}, B)$ for the Laurent expansion of variables x_i yields a new scale X', such that $(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x}, B), \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}^+(\mathbf{x}, B), X) \cong (\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x}, B), \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}^+(\mathbf{x}, B), X')$. But $X \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}^+(\mathbf{x}, B)$ for any dimension group; therefore theorem 1 implies a new proof of the Positivity Conjecture for the cluster algebras.

Corollary 1 *The coefficients of the Laurent polynomials corresponding to the cluster variables x_i are non-negative integers.*

The article is organized as follows. The preliminary facts are introduced in Section 2. Theorem 1 and corollary 1 are proved in Section 3. In Section 4 we consider an example of the cluster C^*-algebra $\mathbb{A}(1, 1)$ coming from triangulation of an annulus with one marked point on each boundary component; the Laurent phenomenon in the cluster algebra $K_0(\mathbb{A}(1, 1))$ is shown to correspond to the Jones polynomials of knots and links.

2 Preliminaries

This section is a brief review of the C^*-algebras, AF-algebras and cluster C^*-algebras. For a general review of C^*-algebras we refer the reader to [Murphy 1990] [11]. The AF-algebras were introduced in [Bratteli 1972] [1]. The general K-theory of C^*-algebras is covered in [Rørdam, Larsen & Laustsen 2000] [15] and K-theory of the AF-algebras in [Effros 1981] [2]. Cluster C^*-algebras were the subject of [12].

2.1 AF-algebras and dimension groups

A *C^*-algebra* is an algebra A over $\mathbf{C}$ with a norm $a \mapsto ||a||$ and an involution $a \mapsto a^*$ such that it is complete with respect to the norm and $||ab|| \leq ||a||\ ||b||$

and $||a^*a|| = ||a^2||$ for all $a, b \in A$. Any commutative C^*-algebra is isomorphic to the algebra $C_0(X)$ of continuous complex-valued functions on some locally compact Hausdorff space X; otherwise, A represents a noncommutative topological space.

An *AF-algebra* (Approximately Finite C^*-algebra) is defined to be the norm closure of a dimension-increasing sequence of finite dimensional C^*-algebras M_n, where M_n is the C^*-algebra of the $n \times n$ matrices with entries in $\mathbf{C}$. Here the index $n = (n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ represents the semi-simple matrix algebra $M_n = M_{n_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus M_{n_k}$. The ascending sequence mentioned above can be written as

$$M_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} M_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \ldots, \tag{2}$$

where M_i are the finite dimensional C^*-algebras and φ_i the homomorphisms between such algebras. The homomorphisms φ_i can be arranged into a graph as follows. Let $M_i = M_{i_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus M_{i_k}$ and $M_{i'} = M_{i'_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus M_{i'_k}$ be the semi-simple C^*-algebras and $\varphi_i : M_i \to M_{i'}$ the homomorphism. (To keep it simple, one can assume that $i' = i + 1$.) One has two sets of vertices $V_{i_1}, \ldots, V_{i_k}$ and $V_{i'_1}, \ldots, V_{i'_k}$ joined by b_{rs} edges whenever the summand $M_{i'_r}$ contains b_{rs} copies of the summand M_{i_s} under the embedding φ_i. As i varies, one obtains an infinite graph called the *Bratteli diagram* of the AF-algebra. The matrix $B = (b_{rs})$ is known as a *partial multiplicity* matrix; an infinite sequence of B_i defines a unique AF-algebra.

For a unital C^*-algebra A, let $V(A)$ be the union (over n) of projections in the $n \times n$ matrix C^*-algebra with entries in A; projections $p, q \in V(A)$ are *equivalent* if there exists a partial isometry u such that $p = u^*u$ and $q = uu^*$. The equivalence class of projection p is denoted by $[p]$; the equivalence classes of orthogonal projections can be made to a semigroup by putting $[p] + [q] = [p + q]$. The Grothendieck completion of this semigroup to an abelian group is called the K_0-group of the algebra A. The functor $A \to K_0(A)$ maps the category of unital C^*-algebras into the category of abelian groups, so that projections in the algebra A correspond to a positive cone $K_0^+ \subset K_0(A)$ and the unit element $1 \in A$ corresponds to an order unit $u \in K_0(A)$. The ordered abelian group (K_0, K_0^+, u) with an order unit is called a *dimension group*; an order-isomorphism class of the latter we denote by (G, G^+).

If $\mathbb{A}$ is an AF-algebra, then its dimension group $(K_0(\mathbb{A}), K_0^+(\mathbb{A}), u)$ is a complete isomorphism invariant of algebra $\mathbb{A}$ [Elliott 1976] [3]. The order-isomorphism class $(K_0(\mathbb{A}), K_0^+(\mathbb{A}))$ is an invariant of the *Morita equivalence* of algebra $\mathbb{A}$, i.e. an isomorphism class in the category of finitely generated

projective modules over $\mathbb{A}$.

The *scale* Γ is a subset of $K_0^+(\mathbb{A})$ which is generating, hereditary and directed, i.e. (i) for each $a \in K_0^+(\mathbb{A})$ there exist $a_1, \ldots, a_r \in \Gamma(\mathbb{A})$, such that $a = a_1 + \ldots + a_r$; (ii) if $0 \leq a \leq b \in \Gamma$, then $a \in \Gamma$; (iii) given $a, b \in \Gamma$ there exists $c \in \Gamma$, such that $a, b \leq c$. If u is an order unit, then the set $\Gamma := \{a \in K_0^+(\mathbb{A}) \mid 0 \leq a \leq u\}$ is a scale; thus the dimension group of algebra $\mathbb{A}$ can be written in the form $(K_0(\mathbb{A}), K_0^+(\mathbb{A}), \Gamma)$.

The *UHF-algebra* $M_{\mathbf{k}}$ ("uniformly hyper-finite C^*-algebra") is an inductive limit of the form $M_{k_1}(\mathbf{C}) \to M_{k_1}(\mathbf{C}) \otimes M_{k_2}(\mathbf{C}) \to M_{k_1}(\mathbf{C}) \otimes M_{k_2}(\mathbf{C}) \otimes M_{k_3}(\mathbf{C}) \to \ldots$, where $M_{k_i}(\mathbf{C})$ is a matrix C^*-algebra, $k_i \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ and $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, k_3, \ldots)$. Let p be a prime number and $n = \sup\ \{0 \leq j \leq \infty : p^j \mid \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} k_i\}$; denote by $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2, \ldots)$ an infinite sequence of n_i, where p_i runs through all primes. By $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{n})$ one understands an additive subgroup of $\mathbf{Q}$ consisting of the rational numbers whose denominators divide the "supernatural number" $p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \ldots$, where each n_j belongs to the set $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, \infty\}$. The $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{n})$ is a dense subgroup of $\mathbf{Q}$ and every dense subgroup of $\mathbf{Q}$ containing $\mathbf{Z}$ is given by $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{n})$ for some $\mathbf{n}$, see e.g. [Rørdam, Larsen & Laustsen 2000, Proposition 7.4.3 (i)] [15]. The UHF-algebra $M_{\mathbf{k}}$ and the group $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{n})$ are connected by the formula $K_0(M_{\mathbf{k}}) \cong \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{n})$, where $K_0(M_{\mathbf{k}})$ is the K_0-group of the C^*-algebra $M_{\mathbf{k}}$.

2.2 Cluster C^*-algebras

Let T_n be an oriented tree whose vertices correspond to the seeds $(\mathbf{x}, B)$ and outgoing edges correspond to mutations in direction $1 \leq k \leq n$. Notice that the tree T_n of a cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ has a grading by levels, i.e. a distance from the root of T_n. We shall say that a pair of clusters $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x}'$ are *ℓ-equivalent*, if:

(i) $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x}'$ lie at the same level;

(ii) $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x}'$ coincide modulo a cyclic permutation of variables x_i;

(iii) $B = B'$.

It is not hard to see that ℓ is an equivalence relation on the set of vertices of graph T_n.

Definition 1 *By a cluster C^*-algebra $\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ one understands an AF-algebra given by the Bratteli diagram $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ of the form:*

$$\mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{x}, B) := T_n \ \mathbf{mod}\ \ell. \tag{3}$$

Remark 1 Notice that the graph $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ is no longer a tree; the cycles of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ appear after gluing together vertices lying at the same level of the tree according to the relation ℓ. The $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ is not a regular graph, since the valency of vertices can vary. Note also that the $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ is a finite graph if and only if $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ is a finite cluster algebra.

Example 1 Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$ and

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4}$$

The cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ is associated to an ideal triangulation of an annulus with one marked point on each boundary component, see [Fomin, Shapiro & Thurston 2008, Example 4.4] [5]. The exchange relations (1) in this case can be written as $x_{i-1}x_{i+1} = 1 + x_i^2$ and $B' = B$. It is easy to verify using definition 1, that the Bratteli diagram T_2 **mod** ℓ of the corresponding cluster C^*-algebra $\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ is given by Figure 3. We refer the reader to Section 4 for an extended discussion of the properties of such an algebra.

Example 2 ([12]) Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ and

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5}$$

The cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ is called *Markov's*; it is associated to an ideal triangulation of the hyperbolic torus with a cusp, see e.g. [Williams 2014] [17]. The Bratteli diagram T_3 **mod** ℓ of the cluster C^*-algebra $\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ is shown in Figure 1. (The corresponding mutation tree T_3 and the equivalence classes of relation ℓ are given in full detail in [12], Figure 4.) The algebra $\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ has a non-trivial primitive spectrum being isomorphic to an AF-algebra $\mathfrak{M}_1$ introduced by [Mundici 1988] [9]; for a general theory of the Mundici algebras we refer the reader to the monograph [Mundici 2011] [10].

3 Proofs

3.1 Proof of theorem 1

We shall split the proof into a series of lemmas.



Figure 1: The Bratteli diagram of Markov's cluster C^*-algebra.

Lemma 1 *The ordered abelian group* $(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x}, B),\ \mathcal{A}^+_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x}, B))$ *is a dimension group with the stable scale* $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{A}^+_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x}, B)$.

Proof. Recall that an ordered abelian group (G, G^+) satisfies the *Riesz interpolation property*, if given $\{a_i, b_j \in G \mid a_i \leq b_j \text{ for } i, j = 1, 2\}$ there exists $c \in G$, such that

$$a_i \leq c \leq b_j. \tag{6}$$

Let us show that the ordered group $(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x}, B),\ \mathcal{A}^+_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x}, B))$ satisfies the Riesz interpolation property. Indeed, if $a_i = \sum A_i \mathbf{x}^i$ and $b_j = \sum B_i \mathbf{x}^i$ are the Laurent polynomials of $a_i, b_j \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x}, B)$, then one can choose $c = \sum C_i \mathbf{x}^i$ such that $C_i = A_i$ if $A_i \neq 0$ and $0 < C_i < B_i$ if $A_i = 0$. Clearly, the condition (6) is satisfied.

By the Effros-Handelman-Shen Theorem, a countable ordered abelian group is a dimension group if and only if it satisfies the Riesz interpolation property, see [Effros 1981, Theorem 3.1] [2]. Thus $(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x}, B),\ \mathcal{A}^+_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x}, B))$ is a dimension group with the stable scale. Lemma 1 is proved.

Lemma 2 *There exists an UHF-algebra* $M_{\mathbf{k}}$*, such that* $\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B) \subset M_{\mathbf{k}}$.

Proof. If $\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ comes from a cluster algebra of an infinite type, then the Bratteli diagram of $\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ is an infinite graph, such that the number of vertices is an unbounded function of the level of the graph. This observation implies that $K_0(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)) \cong \mathbf{Z}^\infty$, where $\mathbf{Z}^\infty$ is abelian group of an infinite rank. (The case of finite cluster algebras will be omitted; the $\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ is a finite-dimensional C^*-algebra and the K-theory is trivial in this case.)

Consider a canonical trace $\tau : \mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B) \to \mathbf{C}$; such a trace on the AF-algebra always exists and unique. The τ induces a linear map $\tau_* : K_0(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)) \to \mathbf{R}$. In particular, one gets a dense additive abelian subgroup (of infinite rank)

of the real line defined by an embedding $\tau_* : \mathbf{Z}^\infty \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}$. But each dense additive group $\mathbf{Z}^\infty$ of $\mathbf{R}$ must be a subgroup of $\mathbf{Q}$ modulo a scaling constant. Observe that such a subgroup has the form:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{Z}\ mod\ k}{\mathfrak{p}^i}, \tag{7}$$

where $\mathfrak{p} = p_1 \dots p_n$ is the product of primes p_i and $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ is relatively prime with each p_i. Thus $\tau_*(K_0(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B))) \subset \mathbf{Q}$.

It is easy to see that $\mathbf{Z} \not\subset \tau_*(K_0(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)))$. Indeed, if $\mathbf{Z} \subset \tau_*(K_0(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B))) \subset \mathbf{Q}$, then $\tau_*(K_0(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B))) \cong \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{n})$ for a supernatural number $\mathbf{n}$, see Section 2.1; in particular, the $\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ is an UHF-algebra. The latter is not true, because such algebras are always simple while the algebra $\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ is not.

Let $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{n})$ be the smallest additive abelian subgroup of $\mathbf{Q}$ containing both $\tau_*(K_0(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)))$ and $\mathbf{Z}$. Consider a UHF-algebra $M_{\mathbf{k}}$ such that $K_0(M_{\mathbf{k}}) \cong \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{n})$. Then $\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B) \subset M_{\mathbf{k}}$ and lemma 2 is proved.

Lemma 3 *$K_0(M_{\mathbf{k}}) \cong \mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$.*

Proof. It transpires that the supernatural number in lemma 2 has the form $\mathbf{n} = p_1^\infty \dots p_n^\infty$ for some distinct prime numbers p_i. But the rational numbers whose denominators divide $\mathbf{n}$ are elements of the ring $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{p_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{p_n}] \cong \mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$; thus $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{n}) \cong \mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$. Since $K_0(M_{\mathbf{k}}) \cong \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{n})$, one gets the conclusion of lemma 3.

Lemma 4 *The exists a canonical isomorphism φ between the abelian groups $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ and $K_0(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B))$.*

Proof. To define the isomorphism, we shall construct a representation $\rho : \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x}, B) \to \mathbf{Q}$ commuting with the linear map τ_* on $K_0(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B))$. The positive cone $K_0^+(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B))$ is defined by the positive rational numbers $\mathbf{Q}^+$.

Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a cluster of n variables in the algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)$. Consider a map

$$\varphi : \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x}, B) \to K_0(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)) \tag{8}$$

defined on $\mathbf{x}$ by the formula $\varphi(x_i) = [e_i]$, where $[e_i]$ are some n pairwise different (equivalence classes of) projections generating the group $K_0(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B))$. We shall write $\mu_i = \tau_*([e_i]) \in \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{n})$ to denote the image of $[e_i]$ in $\mathbf{R}$ under the linear map τ_* constructed in lemma 2.

Let μ_{n+k} be a rational number given by the formula:

$$\mu_{n+k} = \frac{1}{\mu_k}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\mu_i^{\max(b_{ik},0)} + \prod_{i=1}^{n}\mu_i^{\max(-b_{ik},0)}\right), \tag{9}$$

where $1 \leq k \leq n$ and b_{ik} are elements of the skew-symmetric matrix B; notice that formula (9) is compatible with the exchange relations (1). It is easy to see that $\mu_{n+k} \in \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{n})$, because the set $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{n})$ is closed under the addition, multiplication and division of the rational numbers in $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{n})$.

Let $[e_{n+k}] \in K_0(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B))$ be such that $\tau_*([e_{n+k}]) = \mu_{n+k}$; such an element in $K_0(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B))$ always exists because $\mu_{n+k} \in \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{n})$. We shall extend the map φ from the set $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ to the set $\mathbf{x} \cup x_{n+k}$ according to the formula:

$$\varphi(x_{n+k}) = [e_{n+k}]. \tag{10}$$

Since $1 \leq k \leq n$, one gets a map $\varphi : \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x}, B) \to K_0(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B))$ defined on the cluster variables $(x_1, \ldots, x_{2n})$.

It is obvious, that using formulas (9) one can extend φ to the rest of generators x_i of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ and henceforth to the entire cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x}, B)$. Thus one gets a representation:

$$\rho : \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x}, B) \to \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{n}), \tag{11}$$

which commutes with the linear map τ_* as shown in Figure 2. The reader is encouraged to verify that φ is an isomorphism of the abelian groups. Lemma 4 follows.



Figure 2: Construction of the isomorphism φ.

Remark 2 Lemma 4 implies that the group $K_0(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B))$ has the natural structure of a commutative ring. In view of lemma 3, such a ring is subject to an inclusion $K_0(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)) \subset \mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$.

Lemma 5 *The isomorphism φ is order-preserving, i.e.*

$$(K_0(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x},B)),\ K_0^+(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x},B)) \cong (\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x},B),\ \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}^+(\mathbf{x},B)).$$

Proof. It follows from formula (9) that the representation $\rho : \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x},B) \to \mathbf{Q}$ preserves the positive cone $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}^+(\mathbf{x},B)$ of the abelian group $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x},B)$, since $\rho(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}^+(\mathbf{x},B)) \subset \mathbf{Q}^+$. On the other hand, it was proved in lemma 4 that the map ρ commutes with the map τ_*; the latter is also an order-preserving map, since $\rho(K_0^+(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x},B)) \subset \mathbf{Q}^+$. Thus the composition $\varphi = \rho \circ \tau_*^{-1}$ is an order-preserving map, such that

$$\varphi(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}^+(\mathbf{x},B)) = K_0^+(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x},B). \tag{12}$$

Lemma 5 follows.

Theorem 1 follows from lemma 5.

Remark 3 ([12]) Theorem 1 implies that the category of cluster algebras can be embedded into the category of dimension groups (G, G^+) with the stable scale. The following (partial) characterization of cluster algebras in terms of the dimension groups is true: The cluster algebras correspond to the dimension groups with $G \cong \mathbf{Z}^\infty$ and a non-trivial spectrum $Prim\ (G, G^+) \cong \{\mathbf{R}^n \mid n \geq 1\}$, where $Prim\ (G, G^+)$ is the space of primitive ideals of (G, G^+) endowed with the Jacobson topology.

3.2 Proof of corollary 1

Let ψ be an inverse of the map φ constructed in lemma 4. We shall fix an isomorphism class of the AF-algebra $\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x},B)$ and consider the corresponding dimension group $(K_0(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x},B)), K_0^+(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x},B)), \Gamma)$. In view of theorem 1, we have:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x},B) &= \psi(K_0(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x},B))) \\ \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}^+(\mathbf{x},B) &= \psi(K_0^+(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x},B))). \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

Since $\Gamma \subseteq K_0^+(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x},B))$, one gets a scale $\psi(\Gamma) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}^+(\mathbf{x},B)$ in the cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x},B)$.

On the other hand, it is verified directly that the set $X = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of all cluster variables x_i is a scale, since it is a generating, hereditary and directed subset of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x},B)$. But given isomorphism class of algebra $\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x},B)$ can

define only one scale on the cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x}, B)$; thus $X \cong \psi(\Gamma)$. It remains to recall that $\psi(\Gamma) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{+}_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ and therefore $X \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{+}_{\mathbf{add}}(\mathbf{x}, B)$. In other words, the coefficients of the Laurent polynomials corresponding to the cluster variables x_i are non-negative integers. Corollary 1 is proved.

4 An example

To illustrate theorem 1, we shall consider a cluster C^*-algebra $\mathbb{A}(1,1)$ associated to a triangulation of an annulus with one marked point on each boundary component, see [Fomin, Shapiro & Thurston 2008, Example 4.4] [5]; we shall keep the original notation of cited paper.

4.1 Cluster C^*-algebra $\mathbb{A}(1,1)$

Let $\mathfrak{A} = \{z = x + iy \in \mathbf{C} \mid r \leq |z| \leq R\}$ be an annulus in the complex plane such that $r < R$. Recall that the Riemann surfaces $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{A}'$ are isomorphic if and only if $R/r = R'/r'$; the real number $t = R/r$ is called a *modulus* of $\mathfrak{A}$. By $T_{\mathfrak{A}} = \{t \in \mathbf{R} \mid t > 1\}$ we understand the Teichmüller space of $\mathfrak{A}$, i.e. the space of all Riemann surfaces $\mathfrak{A}$ endowed with a natural topology. The cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}, B_T)$ of rank two given by a matrix:

$$B_T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{14}$$

is the coordinate ring of $T_{\mathfrak{A}}$ [Fomin, Shapiro & Thurston 2008, Example 4.4] [5]; the $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}, B_T)$ is related to the Penner coordinates on the space $T_{\mathfrak{A}}$ corresponding to an ideal triangulation T of $\mathfrak{A}$ with one marked point on each boundary component of $\mathfrak{A}$ [Williams 2014, Section 3] [17].

By $\mathbb{A}(1,1) := \mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B_T)$ we shall understand a cluster C^*-algebra given by matrix B_T; the reader is encouraged to verify using formulas (1) that the Bratteli diagram of $\mathbb{A}(1,1)$ has the form of a Pascal triangle shown in Figure 3. (The $\mathbb{A}(1,1)$ is the so-called *GICAR algebra* [Effros 1980, p.13(e)] [2]; such an algebra has a rich set of ideals [Bratteli 1972, Section 5.5] [1].)

By $\{\sigma_t : \mathbb{A}(1,1) \to \mathbb{A}(1,1) \mid t \in \mathbf{R}\}$ we denote a group of modular automorphisms constructed in [12], Section 4; the σ_t is generated by the geodesic flow T^t on the space $T_{\mathfrak{A}}$.



Figure 3: Bratteli diagram of algebra $\mathbb{A}(1,1)$.

The $\mathbb{A}(1,1)$ embeds into an UHF-algebra:

$$M_{2^\infty} := \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\infty} M_2(\mathbf{C}). \tag{15}$$

In other words, the supernatural number $\mathbf{k}$ in lemma 2 is equal to 2^∞. (The M_{2^∞} is known as a *CAR algebra*; unlike the $\mathbb{A}(1,1)$, it is a simple AF-algebra with the Bratteli diagram shown in [Effros 1980, p.13(c1)] [2].) The Powers product $\{\otimes_{i=1}^{\infty} \exp(\sqrt{-1}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}) \mid 0 < \lambda < 1\}$ defines a group of modular automorphisms $\{\sigma^t : M_{2^\infty} \to M_{2^\infty} \mid t \in \mathbf{R}\}$; it is not hard to observe, that $\sigma^t \equiv \sigma_t$ on $\mathbb{A}(1,1)$.

Recall that if e_{ij} are the matrix units in $M_2(\mathbf{C})$, one can define a projection $e \in M_2(\mathbf{C}) \otimes M_2(\mathbf{C})$ by the formula $e = \frac{1}{1+t}(e_{11} \otimes e_{11} + te_{22} \otimes e_{22} + \sqrt{t}(e_{12} \otimes e_{21} + e_{21} \otimes e_{12}))$, where $t \in \mathbf{R}$ is a parameter. If θ is the shift automorphism of the UHF-algebra M_{2^∞}, then projections $e_i := \theta^i(e) \in M_{2^i}$ satisfy the following relations:

$$\begin{cases} e_i e_j = e_j e_i, & \text{if } |i-j| \geq 2 \\ e_i e_{i\pm 1} e_i = \frac{t}{(1+t)^2} e_i \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

and the Powers state $\varphi_t : M_{2^\infty} \to \mathbf{C}$ satisfies the Jones equality:

$$\varphi_t(we_{n+1}) = \frac{t}{(1+t)^2}\ \varphi_t(w), \quad \forall w \in M_{2^{n+1}}, \tag{17}$$

see [Jones 1991, Section 5.6] [7] for the details. The e_i generate the algebra M_{2^∞} and taking new generators s_i such that $\sigma^t(s_i) = te_i - (1 - e_i)$ one gets a representation of the braid group $B_n = \{s_1, \ldots, s_n \mid s_i s_{i+1} s_i = s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1},\ s_i s_j = s_j s_i \text{ if } |i-j| \geq 2\}$ in the algebra M_{2^n}.

4.2 The values of modulus t

To find admissible values of parameter t, we shall use a simple analysis of the cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(1,1) \cong K_0(\mathbb{A}(1,1))$. Recall that algebra $\mathcal{A}(1,1)$ has a unique canonical basis $\mathcal{B}$ consisting of the positive elements of $\mathcal{A}(1,1)$, i.e. the Laurent polynomials with positive integer coefficients; the elements of $\mathcal{B}$ generate the whole algebra $\mathcal{A}(1,1)$. An explicit construction of $\mathcal{B}$ was given by [Sherman & Zelevinsky 2004, Theorem 2.8] [16]. Namely,

$$\mathcal{B} = \{x_i^p x_{i+1}^q \mid p, q \geq 0\} \bigcup \{T_n(x_1x_4 - x_2x_3) \mid n \geq 3\}, \tag{18}$$

where $T_n(x)$ are the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind. Since

$$T_0 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad T_n\left[\frac{1}{2}(t + t^{-1})\right] = \frac{1}{2}(t^n + t^{-n}), \tag{19}$$

we shall look for a modulus t such that $\frac{1}{2}(t + t^{-1}) = x_1x_4 - x_2x_3$. (This is always possible since the Penner coordinates on $T_{\mathfrak{A}}$ are given by the cluster (x_1, x_2), where each x_i is a function of modulus t.) The reader can verify that exchange relations (1) for $\mathcal{A}(1,1)$ can be written as:

$$x_{i-1}x_{i+1} = x_i^2 + 1. \tag{20}$$

From (20) it is easy to calculate that:

$$x_1x_4 - x_2x_3 = \frac{x_1^2 + 1 + x_2^2}{x_1x_2}. \tag{21}$$

From $x_1x_4 - x_2x_3 = \frac{1}{2}(t + t^{-1})$ one gets a system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} x_1x_2 & = & 2t \\ x_1^2 + x_2^2 & = & t^2. \end{cases} \tag{22}$$

Excluding $x_2 = \frac{2t}{x_1}$ in the second equation, we get an equation:

$$x_1^4 - t^2x_1^2 + 4t^2 = 0. \tag{23}$$

The bi-quadratic equation (23) for x_1 has real roots if and only if its discriminant:

$$\Delta = t^2(t^2 - 16) \geq 0. \tag{24}$$

Therefore modulus t must belong to the interval:

$$t \in [4, \infty). \tag{25}$$

(An explicit resolution of cluster variables x_1 and x_2 is given by the formulas:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\sqrt{t^2 + t\sqrt{t^2-16}} \\ x_2 &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\sqrt{t^2 - t\sqrt{t^2-16}} \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

and the required equality $x_1x_4 - x_2x_3 = \frac{1}{2}(t + t^{-1})$ holds true in this case.)

To get discrete moduli, we shall assume that $\mathcal{A}(1,1)$ is a finite cluster algebra, i.e. the number of x_i is finite. It is immediate that $|\mathcal{B}| < \infty$ and from the second series in (18) one obtains:

$$T_n(x_1x_4 - x_2x_3) = T_0 = 1 \tag{27}$$

for some integer $n \geq 3$. But $x_1x_4 - x_2x_3 = \frac{1}{2}(t + t^{-1})$ and using formula (19) for the Chebyshev polynomials, one gets an equation:

$$t^n + t^{-n} = 2 \tag{28}$$

for (possibly complex) values of modulus t. Since (28) is equivalent to the equation $t^{2n} - 2t^n + 1 = (t^n - 1)^2 = 0$, one gets the n-th root of unity:

$$t \in \{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}} \mid n \geq 3\}. \tag{29}$$

However, the quantity

$$\frac{t}{(1+t)^2} = \frac{1}{t^{-1} + 2 + t} = \frac{1}{2(\cos\ \frac{2\pi}{n} + 1)} = \frac{1}{4\cos^2\frac{\pi}{n}} \tag{30}$$

is a real number; thus relations (16) define a C^*-algebra albeit finite-dimensional in this case. Bringing together (25) and (30) one gets the following

Corollary 2 *Relations (16) define a C^*-algebra if and only if*

$$t \in [4, \infty) \bigcup \{4\cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right) \mid n \geq 3\}. \tag{31}$$

Remark 4 The finite cluster algebras corresponding to the discrete moduli come from a triangulation of the n-gons or the n-gons with one puncture, see [Fomin, Shapiro & Thurston 2008, Table 1] [5]; such algebras are classified by their Coxeter-Dynkin diagrams of type A_{n-3} and D_n, respectively. As explained, the $\mathbb{A}(1,1)$ is a finite-dimensional C^*-algebra having the Bratteli diagram similar to one shown in [Jones 1991, pp. 37-38] [7].

4.3 Jones polynomials and Laurent phenomenon

The Jones polynomial $V_L(t)$ of a link L is an amazingly powerful topological invariant of the link; the $V_L(t)$ comes from a basic construction of the subfactors in the von Neumann algebras, see [Jones 1991] [7] for an excellent introduction. To recast the $V_L(t)$ in terms of the Laurent phenomenon in algebra $\mathcal{A}(1,1)$, notice that from (18) one gets $\mathcal{A}(1,1) \cong \mathbf{Z}[x_i^p x_{i+1}^q, T_n(x_1x_4 - x_2x_3)]$. But $x_1x_4 - x_2x_3 = \frac{1}{2}(t+t^{-1})$ and $T_n\left[\frac{1}{2}(t+t^{-1})\right] = \frac{1}{2}(t^n + t^{-n})$, so that $T_n(x_1x_4 - x_2x_3)$ can be replaced by a single generator $t^{\pm 1}$. Similarly, $x_i^p x_{i+1}^q \in \mathbf{Z}[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}]$ by the Laurent phenomenon and in view of (26) one can replace $x_i^p x_{i+1}^q$ by a generator $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\sqrt{t^2 \pm t\sqrt{t^2-16}}$. Thus $\mathcal{A}(1,1) \cong \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{\frac{t^2 \pm t\sqrt{t^2-16}}{2}}, t^{\pm 1}]$; in particular, the $\mathcal{A}(1,1)$ contains all polynomials $V_L(t)$.

On the other hand, the Jones equality (17) is nothing but an exchange relation (1) in algebra $\mathcal{A}(1,1)$. Indeed, one can exclude t in (17) using formulas (22):

$$\varphi_t(we_{n+1}) = \frac{x_1x_2}{2(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_1x_2 + 1)}\ \varphi_t(w), \quad \forall w \in \mathbf{Z}[e_1, \ldots, e_n]. \tag{32}$$

Since $\varphi_t(e_i) = x_i$ and $we_{n+1} = e_{n+1}$ for some $w \in \mathbf{Z}[e_1, \ldots, e_n]$, one can write (32) in the form:

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{x_1x_2}{2(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_1x_2 + 1)}\ \varphi_t(w), \quad \varphi_t(w) \in \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]. \tag{33}$$

It is easy to observe, that (33) is an exchange relation in algebra $\mathcal{A}(1,1)$. (For instance, if $n = 2$, then $\varphi_t(w) = 2(x_2 + x_3 + x_4)$.) Conversely, if $\mathcal{A}(1,1) \cong K_0(\mathbb{A}(1,1))$ is given, then by theorem 1 one can recover the AF-algebra $\mathbb{A}(1,1)$ up to an isomorphism; hence, as shown in Section 4.1 one gets the Jones equality (17). Thus one gets the following

Corollary 3 *Each $V_L(t)$ is the Laurent polynomial of $\varphi_t(w) \in \mathcal{A}(1,1)$, where L is a link obtained from the closure of a braid $w \in \mathbb{A}(1,1)$.*

Remark 5 The variable $t^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}$ in $V_L(t)$ corresponds to the Penner coordinate in the space $T_{\mathfrak{A}}$. [1]

4.4 Dimension group of the GICAR algebra

We shall use theorem 1 to calculate a dimension group of the algebra $\mathbb{A}(1,1)$.

Corollary 4 $(K_0(\mathbb{A}(1,1)), K_0^+(\mathbb{A}(1,1)), u) \cong (\mathbf{Z}[x], P^+(0,1), u)$, *where $P^+(0,1)$ is the semigroup of all positive-definite polynomials on the interval $(0,1)$.*

Proof. It is known that the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind $T_n(x)$ lie in a basis $\mathcal{B}$ of the cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(1,1)$ [Sherman & Zelevinsky 2004, Theorem 2.8] [16]. For each $0 \leq k \leq n$, we shall introduce a new basis $\mathcal{B}'$ in $\mathcal{A}(1,1)$ comprising the elements:

$$T_1^k(x)(T_0(x) - T_1(x))^{n-k} = x^k(1-x)^{n-k}. \tag{34}$$

On the other hand, the Bratteli diagram in Figure 3 says that the group $K_0(\mathbb{A}(1,1))$ is generated by the (equivalence classes of) projections $[e_k^n]$ subject to the relations:

$$[e_k^n] = [e_k^{n+1}] + [e_{k+1}^{n+1}]. \tag{35}$$

Take a representation ρ of $K_0(\mathbb{A}(1,1))$ in the cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(1,1)$ given by the formula:

$$\rho([e_k^n]) = x^k(1-x)^{n-k}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n. \tag{36}$$

The reader can verify that relations (35) are satisfied. It is easy to see, that $x^k(1-x)^{n-k}$ are generators of the polynomial ring $\mathbf{Z}[x]$ and the rest of the proof repeats the argument in [Renault 1980, Appendix] [14]. Corollary 4 follows.

[1] The ideal triangulations of the Riemann surfaces of genus $g \geq 1$ correspond to the cluster C^*-algebras of a higher rank; such algebras produce the Laurent polynomials in two or more variables, see [13]. The polynomials are new topological invariants of knots and links. For instance, the Markov cluster C^*-algebra of rank 3 in Figure 1 corresponds to the HOMFLY polynomials [Freyd, Yetter, Hoste, Lickorish, Millet & Ocneanu 1985] [6].

Remark 6 Corolary 4 was first proved by [Renault 1980, Appendix] [14]; the GICAR algebra involved in the original proof is isomorphic to the cluster C^*-algebra $\mathbb{A}(1,1)$, see Figure 3.

4.5 The Hecke group

The space $T_{\mathfrak{A}}$ can be parameterized by a Fuchsian group of the second type. Namely, the *Hecke group* $\mathfrak{H}(\lambda)$ is a subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbf{R})$ generated by matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{37}$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$. The group $\mathfrak{H}(\lambda)$ acts on the Lobachevsky plane $\mathbb{H} = \{z = x + iy \mid y > 0\}$ by the linear fractional transformations. The *Hecke theorem* says that the action is discrete if and only if: $\lambda \in [2, \infty) \cup \{\lambda_n := 2\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right) \mid n \geq 3\}$. The fundamental domain $\{z \in \mathbb{H} \mid |\Re(z)| < \frac{\lambda}{2},\ |z| > 1\}$ of $\mathfrak{H}(\lambda)$ is sketched in Figure 4. It is easy to see, that $\mathbb{H}/\mathfrak{H}(\lambda)$ is a disk if $\lambda \geq 2$ and there exits an Ahlfors map $f : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathbb{H}/\mathfrak{H}(\lambda)$ of degree 2, such that:

$$T_{\mathfrak{A}} \cong \{t = \lambda^2 \mid t \in [4, \infty) \cup \{4\cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right) \mid n \geq 3\}\}. \tag{38}$$

(In fact, the Hecke theorem follows from (38) and analysis of algebra $\mathcal{A}(1,1)$ given in Section 4.2; the $\mathcal{A}(1,1)$ is a coordinate ring of $T_{\mathfrak{A}}$.)



Figure 4: The fundamental domain of the Hecke group.

Remark 7 Formula (38) relates the Hecke group $\mathfrak{H}(\lambda)$ to the algebra $\mathbb{A}(1,1)$ and henceforth to the index of subfactors by taking the weak closure of a representation of $\mathbb{A}(1,1) \subset M_{2^\infty}$; such a question was raised in [Jones 1991, Section 3.1] [7].

Acknowledgment. I thank Daniele Mundici and Ralf Schiffler for helpful discussions.

References

[1] O. Bratteli, Inductive limits of finite dimensional C^*-algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 171 (1972), 195-234.

[2] E. G. Effros, Dimensions and C^*-Algebras, in: Conf. Board of the Math. Sciences No.46, AMS (1981).

[3] G. A. Elliott, On the classification of inductive limits of sequences of semisimple finite-dimensional algebras, J. Algebra 38 (1976), 29-44.

[4] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, Cluster algebras I: Foundations, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (2002), 497-529.

[5] S. Fomin, M. Shapiro and D. Thurston, Cluster algebras and triangulated surfaces, Part I: Cluster complexes, Acta Math. 201 (2008), 83-146.

[6] P. Freyd, D. Yetter, J. Hoste, W. Lickorish, K. Millet and A. Ocneanu, A new polynomial invariant of knots and links, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1985), 239-246.

[7] V. F. R. Jones, Subfactors and Knots, CBMS Series 80, AMS, 1991.

[8] K. Lee and R. Schiffler, Positivity for cluster algebras, Annals of Math. 182 (2015), 73-125.

[9] D. Mundici, Farey stellar subdivisions, ultrasimplicial groups, and K_0 of AF C^*-algebras, Adv. in Math. 68 (1988), 23-39.

[10] D. Mundici, Advanced Łukasiewicz Calculus and MV-algebras, Springer, 2011.

[11] G. J. Murphy, C^*-Algebras and Operator Theory, Academic Press, 1990.

[12] I. Nikolaev, On cluster C^*-algebras, Journal of Function Spaces (to appear)

[13] I. Nikolaev, Cluster C^*-algebras and knot polynomials, arXiv:1603.01180

[14] J. Renault, A Groupoid Approach to C^*-Algebras, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 793, Springer-Verlag 1980.

[15] M. Rørdam, F. Larsen and N. Laustsen, An introduction to K-theory for C^*-algebras. London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 49. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.

[16] P. Sherman and A. Zelevinsky, Positivity and canonical bases in rank 2 cluster algebras of finite and affine types, Moscow Math. J. 4 (2004), 947-974.

[17] L. K. Williams, Cluster algebras: An introduction, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (2014), 1-26.

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, St. John's University, 8000 Utopia Parkway, New York (Queens), NY 11439, United States; E-mail: igor.v.nikolaev@gmail.com